

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,232	07/31/2001	William J. Ossmann	US010394	5552
7	590 08/21/2002			
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
	perty Administration		BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the control of th	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	919233		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Budd Group Art Un	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et beneath the correspondence	e address
Period for Reply	2		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory mipire SIX (6) MONTHS	inimum of thirty (30) days will be con- from the mailing date of this commur	sidered timely. nication .
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-2-03	2	·	
This action is FINAL.		······································	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (closed in
Disposition of Claims			
1-15 1 10 22		is/are pending in the	application.
Of the above claim(s)	· ·	is/are withdrawn fron	n consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
\square Claim(s) $1-15$ and $18-72$		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			tion or election
Application Papers		requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approve	d 🗆 disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examine	er.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde			
 ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ 			
☐ received.			
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_	ational Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
 received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ received in this national stage application from the International Complete Copies not received: 	ational Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
 received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ received in this national stage application from the International Complete Copies not received: 	ational Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ □ received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the Internation from the International Stage application from the Internation from the Internat	ational Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

Application/Control Number: 09/919,232

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 2, 4-15 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as unpatentable over Shimazaki or Kobayashi.

The references teach the claimed structures except for the same specific impedance valves for the matching layer. However, it has long been held that optimization of a known device (e.g. thru routine experimentation) is within the skill expected of the routineer. Thus to ascertain specific optimum valves for any particular application of the devices of Kobayashi or Shimazaki would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 20 and 22, note that it would have been self revealing to an operator if a bone was interfering with imaging the desired organ, and it would then have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to move the probe to a location with a clear view of the desired body part.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimazaki or Kobayashi in view of Slayton or Ishrak.

Shimazaki and Kobayashi teach the transducer using a flat arrangement of the transducer elements. However, each of Slayton and Ishrak teach using either a flat or a curved array of transducer elements depending on the job requirements. Thus, for the reasons taught by Ishrak or Slayton, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Kobayashi or Shimazaki with a curves array.

Regarding applicants comments, it is noted that the material added to independent claims 1 and 18 is admitted prior art (see applicants disclosure page 3). The delay circuits are merely

Application/Control Number: 09/919,232

Art Unit: 2834

one known form of electronic focusing. Thus to use this known means for its intended function

Page 3

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 212